

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

United	States of America,)	Case No. 23 - 198 HSG	
	Plaintiff, v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TO UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	ME
OMAR	L WILLIAMS)		
	Defendant(s).)		A E
Trial Act from	butweigh the best interest of the p of the point $\frac{9/12/23}{10/23}$ to $\frac{10/23}{10/23}$ outweigh the best interest of the p of the point $\frac{10}{10/23}$	ublic and thand bases the	, the court excludes time under and finds that the ends of justice served by ne defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. is continuance on the following factor(s):	tile
	Failure to grant a continuance v See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(vould be lik i).	tely to result in a miscarriage of justice.	
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).			
	taking into account the exercise	of due dili	the defendant reasonable time to obtain courgence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
*	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
*	disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the the time limits for a preliminar extending the 30-day time periods.	he court sets parties' sho y hearing un od for an in	ing into account the public interest in the prosting into account the public interest in the prost the preliminary hearing to the date set forth owing of good cause — finds good cause for nder Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 dictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based rim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).	extending and for
	SO ORDERED.	_	Jandar 1 Jahr	<u></u>
DAT	ED: 9/12/23		Kandis A Westmore	₹ .
ž	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		United States Magistrate Judge	
	11		M	
STIP	ULATED: Attorney for Defenda	int	Assistant United States Attorney	